

### **Practical implementation of PF130**

Jeremy Andrew 15 October 2007



### **Good governance:**

- Achieve objectives in a transparent manner
- Fulfill obligations to stakeholders
- Manage risks
- Act properly (board):
  - Put the interests of the fund and its members above your own ("utmost good faith")
  - Act within your powers in terms of the rules
  - Seek expert advice
  - Apply discretion fairly and impartially
  - Identify and manage conflicts of interest
  - Assess performance regularly
- Communicate effectively with stakeholders



### PF130 requires a set of deliverables that demonstrate good governance



### **PF130**

- Code of conduct, accepted by all board members
- Investment policy statement
- Risk management policy
- Communication policy
- Statement of the results of an annual performance assessment of / by board
- (all available to stakeholders, confirmed in Annual Financial Statements)



## The value lies more in the process / implementation than in the result



### **Topics**

- Board composition and support
- Board behaviour
- Managing risks through structure and mandates
- Performance assessment



### **Board composition**



### **Board and Principal Officer**

- Appropriate mix of skills / ability to act independently
  - Employer / sponsor fills gaps
  - Minimise structural conflicts of interest
- Retain institutional memory
  - Overlap "old" with "new"
- Principal Officer
  - Adequate knowledge / resources
- Assess training needs (Principal Officer)
- Implement training programme
  - Fund or employer to pay for training



### **Board behaviours**



### **Code of Conduct**

### Apply

- Put the interests of the fund and its members above your own ("utmost good faith")
- Act within your powers in terms of the rules
- Seek expert advice
- Apply discretion fairly and impartially
- Identify and manage conflicts of interest
- Preserve confidentiality
- Communicate to stakeholders
- Assess performance regularly
- Acceptance of appointment binds trustee



### **Management of conflict of interest**

- Declaration of interest
  - List of holdings / interests lodged with PO and chairperson
  - Declare interest in any matter at each meeting
- Gift register (PO / chairperson)
- Ask permission of colleagues before accepting invitations from service providers to any training, conferences, etc
- Act independently of constituency
- Ensure that service providers disclose any interests in other services when giving advice
- Seek second opinions where there is any doubt



### **Practical difficulties**

- Trustees' declaration of interest
  - Questions 4 and 5:
    - Clearer language needed
    - Sensitivities on disclosure of other remuneration
  - No declaration is made of directorships or business / personal relationships with service providers or the sponsor other than as a trustee or consultant

Adapt to your circumstances



### Mandate for Chair / SLA for service providers

- Yardstick for performance assessment
  - Good guide for chairperson mandate in PF130
  - Customise it
- Seek expert advice when drafting SLA's for service providers
  - Each subcommittee checks that the SLA satisfies deliverables and timeframes required
  - Use expert to ensure competitive SLA
  - External legal counsel to check contractual terms
- Principal Officer to ensure that there are contracts and SLA's for all service providers in place



# **Managing Risk through Structure and Mandates**



### Risk management policy

- All risks
  - identified
  - distributed amongst subcommittees / board
  - written into mandates
- One subcommittee / board:
  - oversees risk management
  - manages PI cover
- Periodic review of all risks
  - Review complaints / media reports

**Process described in policy** 

**Detail is in mandates** 



### **Subcommittees**

- Identify impact, probability and mitigating strategies for risks allocated to them
  - Administration
  - Investment
  - Legal
  - Benefits (particularly disposition of death benefits)
  - Communication
  - Funding

Overall check by board / governance committee



### **Each subcommittee**

- Identify risk mitigating strategies
- Draft the mandates to include these
  - Ensure relevant information is provided to the subcommittee
  - Part of risk management policy
- Monitor performance
- Take action if performance substandard
- Seek expert advice if risk cannot be avoided



### **Annual Risk Review**

- Risks / impact / probability / mandate / performance
  - Each subcommittee
  - Board as a whole
- Input to answers on questionnaire submitted to FSB on risk management

Important element of the assessment of the performance of the board



# Two specific issues that have been highlighted in PF130:

# **Investment Policy Communication Policy**



### PF130 requirements - investment policy

- Identify investment advisor and custodian
- SRI policy and definition of SRI
- Insurance portfolio or segregated, and why
- Benchmarks for each asset class, performance in past year, tracking error
- Level of risk attributed to each asset class and each asset manager
- State whether fund exercises its ownership rights and, if so, proxy voting policy
- If individual choice, default portfolio (reviewed annually)
- May not confine investments to sponsor's products



### **Broadly, this means**

- What do you hope to achieve from your investments
- For each asset class in which you invest,
  - proportion in asset class,
  - ability of asset manager to vary proportion (risk budget)
  - how you select asset managers
  - how you measure their performance
    - benchmarks relate to peer performance, inflation, or indices
    - appropriate reporting
- Why you made these decisions
- Who has advised you
- (For DB funds) Have you consulted the employer?



### You must consider

- Type of fund (DB, DC, hybrid) and expected future cash flows
  - special needs such as LDI
- Investment risks to which members will be exposed
- Type of member and their risk appetite
  - workers with little financial knowledge
  - affluent members with access to individual expert advice
- Type of investment mandate
  - pooled, segregated, multi-manager / "aggressive", "balanced", "conservative" or mix of these / different strategies for pensioner assets and active member assets / LDI or ROI
- Are decisions at trustee level or member level?
- If at member level, default?
- Powers of sponsor / employer in rules



### **Practical issues**

### Do's

- Be realistic
- If you have limited options (eg because of small size) think what you as trustees should be doing and put that into the policy
  - Review performance against peers and inflation
  - Periodically survey the market to ensure fees are competitive

### Don'ts

 Undertake to do anything in the policy that you are not empowered to do in terms of the rules



### Where you own the assets

- Custodian
- SRI policy (and definition)
- Proxy voting policy
  - Voting records and reasons
- Use of derivatives, borrowing and pledging assets, scrip lending

Where an insurer or collective investment scheme owns the assets, ensure that the manager has an SRI policy and proxy voting policy and ask how these are managed and disclosed



### **Communication Policy**

- What are you going to tell members and when?
  - What is happening on the fund (trustee report)
    - Rule changes, pension increases, major events, investment performance (against benchmarks)
    - Financial position (summary of AFS), financing issues, expenses, changes in investment strategy, remuneration of trustees (transparency of operation)
    - Service providers
  - Member benefit statements
  - Investment portfolio options (individual choice)
    - Risk / reward profiles
  - Dispute resolution process and contact details
- AGM / member committees?



### **Communication Policy contd.**

- What are you going to tell participating employers and when?
  - More detail on the financial side:
    - Contributions received and due, changes of contribution rate, membership changes, financial position of fund, member w/d benefits (g'tees), expenses, summary of AFS
  - SLA requirements
- Who is going to do all of this?
- When must the trustees approve material?



### Other aspects

- Confidentiality
- AGM
  - Work for single employer / single site
  - Difficulty is lack of decision making power
  - Otherwise look for workplace meetings / some form of representative interaction



### **Assessment of performance**



### **Model in Annexure C**

- Long
- Difficult to see the "wood" from the "trees"
- Few questions are open ended

Adopt a simpler approach



### **Process**

- Individual trustee:
  - Monitor attendance
  - Monitor any breaches of the code of conduct
    Poor attendance and breaches should be cause to dismiss the trustee handle through chairperson
- Subcommittees, the board as a whole, the chairperson and the Principal Officer:
  - Sufficient timely information to do job?
  - Areas of the mandate or SLA not covered regularly?
  - Risks not covered?

This is effectively a review of the mandate of each committee and the SLA of the PO and a check that all risks are covered

- Effective?
  - Ask views of trustees, PO, service providers
- Improve?
  - Ask views of trustees, PO, service providers



### **Practical issues**

- Some do's:
  - Open-ended questions
  - Observable behaviours
  - Concentrate on the mitigating actions
    (Did they happen? Could they be done better? Are there other things that should be done?)
  - Independent person to collate feedback
- Some don'ts:
  - Get personal (Joe isn't pulling his weight)



### Thank you!